FORMAL ENTRY

CRAWFORD MAUNU PLI.C

Attorneys at Law 1270 Northland Drive, Suite 390 St. Paul, Minnesota 55120 651-686-6633 • fax 651-686-7111

· tt

FACSIMILE

DATE:

March 27, 2003

SER. NO.:

09/876,661

ATTN:

Examiner Artman

FROM:

Robert J. Crawford

FAX NO.:

(703) 872-9318

DOCKET NO.

US 010287

PHONE NO.:

NO. OF PAGES (w/ cover sheet):

6

SUBJECT:

OFFICE ACTION

RESPONSE

NOTICE OF CONFIDENTIALITY AND ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGED/WORK PRODUCT INFORMATION

This memorandum and the transmission it accompanies contain confidential information. The attorneyclient privilege and the attorney work-product doctrines may protect this confidential information. This confidential information is to be reviewed only by the addressee identified above. If you have received this transmission in error, you are instructed to destroy all pages immediately and to call the sender at the telephone number indicated above

Page 2 parmie

10

PATENT 3-31-03

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

Marshall et al.

Examiner:

Artman, T.

Serial No.:

09/876,661

Group Art Unit:

2882

Filed:

June 7, 2001

Docket No.:

US 010287

Title:

LED LUMINAIRE WITH LIGHT SENSOR CONFIGURATIONS FOR

OPTICAL FEEDBACK

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8: The undersigned hereby certifies that this correspondence and the papers, as described hereinabove, are being transmitted via facsimile only-Formal Entry, to the attention of Examiner Artman Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231, on March 2.2.2033.

Facsimile No. (703) 872-9318

By: 18 Walney

OFFICE ACTION RESPONSE

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated January 9, 2003, please consider the following remarks.

Remarks

Favorable reconsideration of this application is requested in view of the following remarks. For the reasons set forth below, Applicant respectfully submits that the claimed invention is allowable over the cited references.

The Office Action dated January 9, 2003, indicated that claims 8 and 10 stand rejected under §102(e) as being anticipated by *Miller et al.* (U.S. Patent No. 6,373,568); claim 9 stands rejected under §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Miller et al.* and in view of *Pashley et al.* (U.S. Patent No. 6,127,783); and claims 1-7 are allowed.

Applicant appreciates the allowance of claims 1-7.

Applicant respectfully traverses each of the prior art rejections.